

MINUTES
LAWTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION POLICY BOARD
3RD FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM
February 7, 2023

Minutes of the Lawton Metropolitan Planning Organization Policy Board meeting held February 7, 2023, in the 3rd Floor Conference Room, City Hall, 212 SW 9th Street, Lawton, Oklahoma.

The agenda for the meeting was posted on the bulletin board in City Hall in compliance with the Oklahoma Open Meeting Act.

The meeting was called to order at 11:00 am by Mayor Stan Booker.

ROLL CALL

MEMBERS PRESENT: Stan Booker
David Denham
Jay Earp
Allan Hampton
Kelly Harris
David Madigan

MEMBERS ABSENT: Sean Fortenbaugh
Johnny Owens
Steve LaForge

ALSO PRESENT: Madison Aust, Recording Secretary
Charlotte Brown, Director of Community Services/Planning
Jonathan Stone, Senior Transportation Planner
Gregory Gibson, Assistant City Attorney
Robert Turner, CPA
Joe Dunham, Finance Director
Susan Schlecht, Accountant
Michael Cleghorn, City Manager
Larry Burk, Deputy City Manager
George Gill, Councilman Ward 4
Debra Porter, Director of Fort Sill Public Works
Sarah McElroy, ODOT

2. Verify posting of meeting according to the Open Meetings Act (Title 25, Okla. Statutes 301-314)

The meeting was posted on February 1, 2023, at 9:30 am by Tammy Branstetter.

3. Introductions

Business

4. Hold a public hearing and consider approving a resolution adopting Amendment 2 to the Federal Fiscal Years 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025 Transportation Improvement Program for the Lawton Metropolitan Area Transportation Study area.

Stone stated this amendment adds the statewide electrical vehicle charging station build out to Transportation Improvement Program. This will allow for these funds to be spent within MPO boundary. Sarah, do you want to speak a little bit about the actual funds.

McElroy responded yes. So, this is a line item being added to the statewide transportation improvement program, the STIP. We completed a NEVI plan at the department, the NEVI plan is the National Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Plan, with the completion of that plan ODOT received sixty-six million dollars in federal funds to set up and install charging stations throughout the state. This line item is added to the STIP, when we complete the contracts with various entities to install these locations the money comes off of that funding. It requires a twenty percent match to be paid by state, local, or private entities.

Denham asked, can they go in a business or are they strictly government property?

McElroy responded no, we have identified some locations, really anywhere. If you go our EVOK website, there's a corridor plan on there with identified locations that the state has identified. Part of it we have gas stations that could be on and then other properties throughout the state.

Booker asked, are there other questions for Sarah?

Harris asked, the private organizations that are going to help with this how many of them are there?

McElroy responded, I don't know at this time, I can get you that information. The division that will be contracting with the local entities is our Multi Model Division, I don't know if you are familiar with Jerrod Swinision, he will be over seeing the contracts and the partnerships with local entities,

Booker asked, any questions?

Hampton asked, you might have already answered this, I was looking, how are they dispersing the town, the county, so many per county?

McElroy responded, it's not necessarily evenly dispersed throughout the state. There's some in cities, off of highways. I don't know the rhyme or reason behind that, it could just be location. If you go to [Oklahoma.gov/evok](https://oklahoma.gov/evok), there is a corridor plan.

Hampton stated, thank you.

Booker asked any more questions for Sarah? Seeing none I will open the public hearing. If anyone wishes to speak on this item, they may do so at this time.

Booker continued, seeing none at this time I will close the public hearing. Do we have a motion or discussion?

Motion by Madigan, second by Harris, to approve a resolution adopting Amendment 2 to the Federal Fiscal Years 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025 Transportation Improvement Program for the Lawton Metropolitan Area Transportation Study area. **Aye:** Denham, Earp, Hampton, Harris, Madigan, Booker. **Nay:** None **Motion Passed**

5. Receive a report from Staff regarding corrective measure taken to address the concerns of the FY 21 Audit.

Brown stated, last year when Mr. Turner did the audit, he had some concerns on how it was being done. A big part of that was that we need a dedicated person to handle all our finances, so we have actually turned all that over to Susan. Do you want to talk about how you are doing things over there?

Schlecht responded yes. We've got a couple of things we have accomplished by moving it out of Planning and into Finance. So, one of the things that is happening is that now you have more transparency more oversight to the detailed financial report that is given to you. The report will continue to become more detailed as I get more involved and more educated about this particular entity. That report did contain reports of recent bank deposits, outstanding claims, outstanding checks, as well as current cash balance, and here in the next couple of months you will start seeing expenses that we will have to also improve. It's not a lengthy process, it's just making sure your eyes are on the expenses, so you know what is going on with that. Item number two of improvement is increase effectiveness (papers are being shuffled cannot discern audio) by moving finances from Planning to the Finance Department you have the necessary separation of duties required when dealing with taxpayer dollars. That's very important when you're dealing with Federal Grants especially. By doing that you have the separation needed for each expense, they are creating the payment, but they are also approving that expense to the invoice side making sure it is looked over making sure we are not charged twice for the same item. Make sure there is an invoice number, everything is reviewed closely by the accountants before it gets paid, once it is reviewed, I release to both Charlotte and Jonathan to look at it, Jonathan will say yes, these services were received or no, they are not then Charlotte signs off on the final invoice. It is then entered into the system and the check is cut and it goes to the bank. It's a good separation and its important so that is accomplished by this. Also, we have a much quicker turn around on invoices part of the reasons when Finance began working with the LMPO invoices were sent directly to Planning, and they were not paid timely due to turn over, and sometimes they were not paid on time. I have emailed and called these companies and asked them to send all their invoices to Finance so we can get those over to Charlotte and Jonathan to sign quicker. Charlotte and Jonathan are also getting those checks signed quicker. The invoices are much quicker than

they used to be, so we can maintain a good relationship with our vendors. Those are the three major things that have happened that will make a difference for the audit.

Booker stated, my observation is we are doing much better, and thank you. We are going to have an audit report in a minute so we will find out if we are doing better. Any comments or questions?

Harris asked, so you're saying we are improving on paying the vendors on time. We received a lot of complaints during the Lee Boulevard.

Booker responded, that has nothing to do with LMPO. That is a separate checking account from the construction projects.

Hampton asked, do did say that that all purchases go through Jonathan's desk and Jonathan double checks those invoices those services have been complete.

Schlecht responded, yes, he does. He signs off on that before it is ever entered into the system.

Hampton stated so we know the work has been done.

Schlecht stated exactly we do not want to pay for something that is not done.

Hampton responded thank you.

Booker asked any questions or comments? There is no action on this item, so we will move on to the next item.

6. Receive a presentation from Robert D. Turner, CPA on the LMPO audit for period beginning July 1, 2021, and ending June 30, 2022, and consider accepting the audit.

Turner stated everyone has a copy of the audit report, right? If you take a look at the first page, it's a brand-new report. It's a longer more detailed in what we do and the way we approach the audit, if you look at the top of the first page is the opinion. On the first page in the first paragraph is the opinion report and has been moved from the back to the front of the report since it show what kind of report you got. In you all's case you got a unmodified report no modifications to it, financial statements are in accordance with General Accounting Regionals says the writing in the very first paragraph. Now if you go below that the basis for opinion accordance's with auditing standards and then we talk about the responsibility of management, and this is kind of what Susan was talking about. The separation of duty, if you look down in the first paragraph it says you are responsible for the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control that is relevant to the preparation of the financial statements to make sure they are free from material mistakes whether due to error or fraud. The separation of duties that the accounting department have implemented enhance the reliability of the financial statements. The next paragraph talks our responsibility and that is detailed. On the next page there are some bullet points, we exercise professional judgement and maintain professional skepticism. If you go down further material misstatements from fraud or error, we do not necessarily find all of them, but we do look for those. We obtain an understanding of internal control. We evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies, and we also conclude about the substantial debt organization being able to be a growing concern. So that really is mostly what we do, if you look page 3 you see there is a

report at the end of the audit financial statements that states compliance with laws, regulations, and internal control. Starting on page four this is the financial statement, a statement of net position. If you look down through here, you'll see your assets primarily cash, accounts receivable, look at your liability, accounts payable one hundred forty-eight through thirty its payable to the city for a hundred and eleven forty-seven you have a net worth net position of \$88,939.00. The next page is a statement of revenue and expenses. This a pass through here. You are cost reimbursement organization, so you don't really earn you money till you spend it. There's no profit or loss. In this case you have a little bit of a loss because you have some depreciation from prior to year purchases. If you look down through there revenue of four sixty-five fifty-two that is what you billed ODOT. Then you have expenses 462, 463 but if you look at the loss it's exactly the same of the depreciation and that is because that is a noncash item. Then you had some interest income so you have a net position change of \$18.26. The next statement on page six is just a statement of cash flow this just shows where your cash came in and went out. If you look about halfway down, you will see we had a net increase of cash during the year \$84,611.00. Then the amendment notes for the financial statements there's not anything, to much unusual, there is just more information there for the people who use the financial statements. Next to the last page we had no issues with laws, regulations, or internal control, we had no problems with that. There were no significant internal control issues and there were no noncompliance issues with laws and regulations. Other than that, getting a dedicated account that was necessary taking over for personnel was the problem. Getting the cost of the invoice to ODOT timely that's important so there was backlog of ODOT invoices, now that Susan is involved, I don't know if that will be a problem. Does anyone have any questions?

Booker asked, no scolding issues.

Turner responded, no, not this year.

Booker stated I want to say I appreciated the scolding. As a citizen I appreciated the scolding. The board doesn't typically know the details of what is going on like that, it was very important. I appreciate the staff and what they have done to correct the deficiencies that we have. I'm really happy on where we are at. Does anyone have any comments?

Denham asked, did your invoice get paid timely?

Turner stated, I haven't sent it out yet, I will let you know.

Harris asked, where were your findings?

Turner responded, my opinions page one. There were no findings.

Denham stated, it's clean.

Turner stated, that is the reason for the clean opinion.

Booker asked any questions or comments?

Motion by Denham, second by Harris to accept the LMPO audit for the period beginning July 1, 2021 and ending on June 30, 2022 presented by Robert D. Turner, CPA. **Aye:** Earp, Hampton, Harris, Madigan, Booker, Denham **Nay:** None **Motion Passed**

7. Consider approving the Request for Proposals for the audit of the Lawton Metropolitan Planning Organization for fiscal years ending June 30, 2023, 2024, and 2025.

Booker stated, look at that we are getting ahead. Jonathan?

Stone stated, we would like to send out the RFP for the next three years of audits now, so that we have time to start an audit earlier.

Booker stated, ok, I do have a question for the City Manager. When is it that we want the audits completed by so we can go for the distinguished whatever it's called

Cleghorn responded, it's the GFOA the Government Finance Office Association distinguished budget award. They generally want those ninety days after close of the fiscal year. That would be like June, July, August, so the first of September, right Joe Don?

Dunham stated yes. Ninety days of the close of the fiscal year.

Turner asked so they want the audit report ninety days?

Cleghorn stated in order for us to compete to be a recognized distinguished budget city by GFOA standards we have to have all component audits done in time and the city's overall audit completed by the end of the calendar year December. If not, then we will never be able to compete for that award. There are two or three cities in the state of Oklahoma, we would like to be the fourth. It's hard goal to achieve when you have a lot of component units that impute to our budget so ninety days is what we set up.

Booker responded it is doable. Edmond and Norman are getting that award now I'm not sure who the third one is.

Cleghorn stated there are two or three.

Booker continued, does that create a problem?

Turner stated, to the city audit basically those component units are folded into it. There is not unless there is an issue with it that the group auditor would have. I think BKD is main auditor, correct? But they would have a problem with the audit, like the financial statements didn't look right, then that would hold up an audit. Normally they just drop these numbers in a report there is not a lot of work for the group auditor to do. And I think ninety days makes it tough especially with the components you have. It would be about forty-five days before you can really determine their problem. That means that at that point in time they can finish their financial before the year. It's a tough go. There's not a problem with couple I do. This one shouldn't be a problem, LURA shouldn't be a problem.

Dunham stated and one of the way we determine that ninety days was needed is that I had discussion with our audit firm and our auditing consultants they both agreed they need the component unit audits ninety days to be able to complete the city wide audit by the 180 days we have to get it completed. And mayor the fourth distinguished city this year we are talking about is Stillwater.

Booker responded, oh really, thank you. It is doable and what is important to this meeting is we can get ours done.

Turner stated, I don't see a problem with this one. It will be hard for some of them, but not this one. As long as the invoices to ODOT are done timely, because you can't close out the financials until those invoices are sent.

Earp stated a have a question. So the ODOT funds that come to the MPO are based on a federal fiscal year that ends in September. I don't know if this has anything to do with your audit, but is your fiscal July to June?

Turner responded, this does not really affect this audit. A lot of governments get federal funds that get cut off at 9/30, but this doesn't affect, they are only required to provide what they have received during that time frame.

Booker stated there are reasons for doing this for three years is what?

Brown responded the past few years we have done the three years and that apparently is standard practice standard practice.

Dunham stated, Mayor typically we do our audits that way. It provides consistencies with the audits, and we are avoiding over time that way we are not just scooching around that will in turn also make it difficult to achieve that goal of distinguished city.

Booker stated ok, if there are further questions or discussions. This is an action item so do we have a motion.

Denham asked does the RFP give a deadline?

Brown responded it does, on the back of the second page it says the LMPO will make available 90 days following the close of the fiscal year.

Cleghorn stated and just for clarification for the board or a little further of information. Every component unit or trust authority in the city or the financial mechanism related to it the same information provided to them is 90 days. FISTA did get a little longer because it was their first full year, but we are working on getting them back to the 90 days. That has applied for all the component units. That was my understanding, right Joe Don?

Dunham responded yes sir.

Motion by Harris, second by Dunham to approve request proposals for the Lawton Metropolitan Planning Organization for the fiscal years ending June 30th, 2023, 2024, and 2025.
Aye: Hampton, Harris, Madigan, Booker, Denham, Earp **Nay:** None **Motion Passed**

8. Provide direction to staff on the priority of locations for the future Traffic Flow Improvement Studies for arterial roadways in the MPO Study Area.

Brown stated so the Transportation Technical Committee met on this morning and their recommendation is after, so right now we are working on Lawrie Tatum to 7th Street. We've made a few changes on the contract and sent it back to EST, we are just waiting on a response

back from them. But we have money so we will be able to get that started once we get that contract back and signed. The Transportation Technical Committee's recommendations is Gore Boulevard between Sheridan and 38th and Sheridan between Gore and Cache Road for the next portion.

Denham asked is that for this one, or it that for the next one.

Brown responded that will be for the next fiscal year.

Booker stated so currently we are doing Lawrie Tatum to?

Brown responded 7th Street on Gore.

Booker stated just 7th. And the recommendation for the next one would you say we have the money to get started?

Brown responded so; we have the money to get this one started. We have the money in there, next fiscal year we will use some of the funds to do the project this one comes up with. And then the recommendation for the next study is Gore Boulevard between Sheridan and 38th and Sheridan Road between Gore and Cache Road.

Harris stated Charlotte, did we already study Cache Road from Fort Sill Boulevard to Sheridan?

Brown responded I don't think so.

Harris stated I would really like to see that. Especially that interchange area on Fort Sill Boulevard and Cache.

Denham stated and I know the Planning Commission was interested in Cache Road up to 38th with all the new houses coming down and the cut outs, but I would like to, because there was a big editorial about this if there is some way the city could respond and let them know the cost of the traffic studies are and why we are only doing this and if the editor has a great funding source we will be inclined to listen but I don't think they realize how much money goes into that.

Booker responded they don't realize this is federal funds we're doing it and we only get so much money a year. I agree. Now I am curious are guys telling me you are prefer Cache Road study from 11th to 38th is the two area I heard over these areas mentioned?

Denham stated I think we have to continue Gore from Sheridan and 38th for sure that Cameron intersection was mentioned of course in the editorial as well. I just know from Planning that Cache Road section was important, but I don't see how funding is going to knock that out. At least you've got six lanes on Cache Road and Sheridan is only the four, and I get that stretch as well, I get what you're doing. It would probably be a roar funding wise to either do Cache from Fort Sill Boulevard to 38th it's the same cost as the proposal is, so maybe put that on the list.

Booker responded maybe we can put that on maybe, are we looking for a motion on this? Can we do this in the form of priorities?

Brown stated yes, we are looking for the priorities on this. For you all to direct us for when we are able to go out for bid next year.

Booker asked does anyone have anything they want to add to this or if not, we could have a motion for this priority.

Hampton asked what is did Charlotte just mention? The Gore and Sheridan projects, right?

Brown stated Gore Boulevard between Sheridan to 38th and Sheridan between Gore and Cache Road those are from Larry Wolcott he said those are the two biggest problem areas we have right now.

Denham stated Gore and Sheridan and Cache and Sheridan are the two most dangerous intersections period.

Harris stated they are the highest in traffic accounts I would think.

Denham stated that is the most logical step and we need to get Cache Road on there as soon as possible.

Booker responded as the next one priority below those, can we make that a motion?

Motion by Denham, Second by Harris, to approve Gore Boulevard between Sheridan and 38th and Sheridan between Gore and Cache Road following fiscal year subject to funding Cache Road from 11th Street to 38th Street. **Aye:** Hampton, Harris, Madigan, Booker Denham, Earp
Nay: None **Motion Passed**

9. Consider approving a firm for the redesign of the LMPO website.

Stone stated the current website about 16 or 17 years old and the actual code it is built on is not supported after the end of March. We have on the second page of the packet a list of quotes from several companies for a new rebuild and the cost of hosting the website. We added it up for five, ten, fifteen-year timeframe to get an idea of the overall cost. Some of the rebuilds are cheap but they have higher monthly hosting costs. So given that our website has lasted us 16 to 17 years the longer-term staff is recommending Back40 Designs as it is the lowest cost.

Booker responded staff is recommending the top one.

Brown stated they are the ones that currently have our hosting through.

Harris asked are they doing a good job?

Brown responded yes.

Hampton asked so they are going to rebuild the whole webpage then?

Harris asked so just to maintain it, it's like a little over \$1000.00 a year then posting?

Brown responded it's about \$900.00 a year to maintain and host.

Harris asked to maintain and host?

Denham stated about \$75.00 a month for that.

Hampton asked what would the final check on that be Jonathan?

Brown responded Jonathan and I will verify.

Denham asked who provides them that data? How often?

Brown responded we do the updates so anytime a document changes Jonathan does the updates. But since they are the ones we are currently working with they are aware of what we have to post so we will provide them with everything they need the first go around.

Harris asked so they have three different proposals this company, correct?

Brown responded yes.

Harris stated different levels. Are we not concerned about that site security or priority response or the third option?

Stone responded if anyone hacks the website all they are going to get are all the documents that are publicly available.

Harris stated okay.

Brown responded it's all PDFs. There is no personal information on there.

Harris stated okay.

Hampton asked so Jonathan does all the updates, do you email them?

Stone responded I upload all the documents to the webpage.

Hampton asked do you update the links and all that or do they do all that?

Stone responded we do.

Hampton stated oh okay we do that too.

Denham asked what is the website? I've never seen or knew we had it.

Stone responded it is lawtonmpo.org

Booker stated this is an action item, it says approve a firm. So, if you like the staff recommendation.

Motion by Denham, Second by Harris, to approve Back40 Designs to redesign and host the LMPO website. **Aye:** Harris, Madigan, Booker, Denham, Earp, Hampton **Nay:** None **Motion Passed**

10. Reports or Comments

Booker stated Sarah you're first.

McElroy stated as I said earlier, I'm with planning and policy. The update I am going to give you today is in regard to the carbon reduction program. This was some funding included in the PIL so the funding Lawton will receive is based on population. It looks like Lawton is going to receive about \$300,000.00 for the I believe it's the next six years for projects related to carbon reduction. So, it is similar to your CMAQ funds it's a little more stringent but those will be coming shortly to the MPO.

Booker asked is it one time money? Three hundred thousand?

McElroy responded yes but it is each year though.

Booker stated each year though okay.

Harris asked and that is for what purpose?

McElroy responded carbon reduction.

Harris stated so electric buses.

McElroy responded yes fleet conversion. To add sidewalks.

Denham asked how we are doing on our air quality numbers? We used to get those in Planning all the time. I guess it's this area here.

Stone responded yes; they are still doing very well. We had two air alert days last year but we did not have any proceeding (cannot discern what is being said)

Harris stated unless you live north of Republic Paper.

Denham responded yes.

Booker asked is that it Sarah.

McElroy responded yes, so we as a department will be putting together a plan, its going to be call the Carbon Reduction Strategy. It's based on strategies to implement things around the state to reduce carbon. We will be consulting with the MPO as well.

Booker stated thank you. Earp?

Earp responded thank you Mr. Chairman. We are currently doing some join rehabilitation on I-44 over Railroad Street I apologize for the one lane in each direction there, should be done before Spring break in that area. South of town that one lane doesn't cause as much trouble as the North of town. It's been a pretty quiet project for us unlike the bridge up there in Medicine Park. Another project for anybody who goes to Faxon and Chattanooga we have a traffic signal out on Highway 36, to do some deck and new beams on a bridge. I have some maps here with our 8 year work plan and Asset Preservation Plan. A couple I want to highlight every quickly, 2025 we are going to replacing the East Cache Creek Bridge out on Highway 7. Lee Boulevard slash Highway 7. The safety improvement project out on Rogers Lane we currently have in 2026, plan development is pretty easy and fast on that one. Hope to advance that. We've got to

do a public education online seminar on that to educate the problem on what we are going to be doing there. That's in development right now.

Denham asked and that the eliminating of the left turns?

Earp responded yes sir.

Harris asked did you find me any lights yet?

Earp responded I found a designer to design some for you. To come up with money to put them in.

Madigan responded I have a checkbook right here.

Earp stated we are over laying on US 62 between Lawton and Cache, and several other projects here. You can look at the map and highlight the camera over this little square here it will do to the ODOT website and show you everything in our Asset Preservation Website and our 8 Year Work Plan.

Booker stated I never hear one compliant about Medicine Park deal and that's great. So I thought every time you mention that you must get a lot of complaints.

Harris stated it's a great job and quick.

Earp stated we had a very large incentive to pay them to get in and get out.

Harris asked they are working all night, weren't they?

Earp responded yes sir sometimes they were.

Booker stated thank you and we will move onto Fort Sill. Do you have a report for us? Gates open?

Porter stated Sheridan Gate opened yesterday. Having a few technical problems but it's going to remain open. Probably next month sometime we will shut down Key Gate. Date to be determined but it will be in March.

Harris asked for the same thing?

Porter responded yes.

Booker stated Key Gate is next. as someone who is wanting to do something on Memorial Day to honor those who died in service of our country, and they ask about that so thank you for that clarification.

Harris asked Key Gate is next or the Fort Sill Boulevard one?

Porter responded its Key Gate that is next.

Hampton asked do you have a timeline for Fort Sill Boulevard?

Porter responded Fort Sill Boulevard I don't know when we have that one scheduled, we have five gates. I've just been tracking the first two.

Hampton asked so it will be

Porter responds all five will be done at some point in time.

Booker stated thank you. Lawton Fort Sill Reginal Airport Madigan? Update?

Madigan responded yes sir, Allan help me out here. We've got a lot of good stuff going on construction wise. The car wash facility that we constructed for the benefit of our rental car companies which are a huge revenue driver for the airport. Is just about complete about ninety-six ninety-seven percent. We also started construction on the backside a new enclose ramp to get to the terminal part. A lot of good things going on in the airport. The fire station, we continue to work closely with city officials and other outside parties on that more to come.

Hampton stated new flights coming in.

Madigan responded new flights thank you. We have four flights coming in now if you didn't realize that. We bumped down to three per day and we're at four. We hear that could temporarily go back down to three some new bigger aircrafts jets that will be flying in and out of Lawton.

Harris stated I thought there were bigger jets now. They fly right over my house.

Madigan responded that might have already started so things are good.

Denham stated a lot of airports are losing all their flights because of the pilot shortages so we're maintaining that growth awesome.

Booker asked LMPO Director?

Brown responded I just ask that everyone be patience right now we are working on filling the two vacant positions and I'm short one person will he is out on leave.

Booker responded well we don't have a lot of patience. Thank you for bringing that up. Jonathan, do you have anything on that? LMPO finances?

Schlecht stated so this is a little bit of what I was talking about earlier on the disclosures on the transparencies. Basically, what I do here is write or record rather all of our claims to ODOT whether they are outstanding or if they have been paid. I show where they are in the process. On our bank deposits we will see that we received quite a few deposits in the last period. It was total of two hundred twenty thousand five hundred thirty-two dollars that was about the time I emailed you and said we have some money. Our receivables which are these are all except one, we do have one is outstanding that is seventeen thousand five hundred and forty-nine dollars. The rest of these claims are MPO 5303 so those are still outstanding I have tried communicating a couple of times with them, but I have not heard back. We ran into this, and it keeps occurring and we don't know why. It was finally assigned to someone else after I went over their head, so now I'm hearing from them again.

McElroy asked who is it Susan?

Schlecht responded Mike.

McElroys asked Woodums?

Schlecht responded yes.

McElroy stated I will make not of that.

Schlecht stated I emailed him twice about the March 2021 its really old and I just heard nothing. I can't say ok I'll do this or that. We'd like to remedy any problems. Those outstanding amounts are sixty-two thousand four hundred five dollars and eighty cents we still have cash of sixty two thousand which we have not received which is something that is very beneficial to us to do projects. On our outstanding we actually do not have any outstanding check this month. We have a lot of bills here in the last reporting period that total adjust bank balance is two hundred twenty-five thousand one hundred twenty-five dollars and fifty-six cents. That it on the report of the finance side of it. Does anyone have any questions?

Earp stated maybe Sarah and I can help this person that is not paying their bills at ODOT. The claim date says the Lawton MPO sent them a claim on March 25, 2022.

Schlecht responded originally it should have been sent September of 2021. Is that right Jonathan?

Stone stated the claim is for the month of September and the date is was sent was March 25th.

Harris stated we waiting 6 months to send them a bill?

Schlecht responded yes, there was a time. Even now we are still claim catch up on these.

Earp stated and the rest of these claims

Brown responded well we had to redo some of those from discussion with ODOT.

McElroy asked what is the outstanding PL claim? Maybe I need to look at a sheet

Schlecht stated oh here I can give you one.

Brown stated June of 22.

Schlecht stated another thing on the March 25 one it was submitted and it kind of just sat there for a while. Jonathan and I both tried getting ahold of the original person didn't happen. So, I went and got someone higher and they got Mike to do it. Mike has been very responsive, the last two three emails he has not responded. Its been well over a month. That's where we are now, he's the same person.

McElroy stated there has been some transition in that office, it should get taken care of. So, we will check on that.

Schlecht responded thank you very much. That is why this March date just hasn't cleared

Earp stated these other claims that sent in December of all of 2022.

Brown responded it looks like you just drug your date through and changed your years.

Schlecht responded no it was not a mistake there. Oh I see what you mean. I'm sorry.

Harris stated we've got the time machine again.

Earp stated if you subtract the number the two twenty-five thirty-two from the two twenty-two one twenty-five that's kind of what we had in the bank in January before you received this money. You've got short about five thousand dollars.

Schlecht responded ok so the two twenty-five thirty-two were the deposits.

Earp stated two twenty-five one twenty-five, is what you have.

Schlecht responded yes to answer your question, we had a little over four thousand dollars and that was even, I took out the outstanding checks as well. So that is why you have any adjusted balance of two twenty-five.

Earp asked so is it Mike Woodums that we need to talk to about all of these?

Schlecht responded it would be 5303.

Earp stated the 5303 okay. We'll tag team on that.

Harris stated so, if we get the sixty-two thousand in, will that pay for the Cache Road study?

Brown responded we will have to get a quote.

Stone stated that will have to come out of the FY24 funds.

Brown stated we already have just over a hundred and twenty-six thousand for the Gore study right now is what we're using.

Booker responded and we do have other things to do besides that study.

Schlecht stated there is one other I'd like to talk about this time. At the end of the fiscal year, we usually go through all our expenses and reimbursements. We have an item that the city applies their twenty percent match to, we have a formula that we use basically to figure that out each year. The total amount we owe the city at this point is two hundred eleven thousand one hundred eighty-four. I wanted to let you know that includes the past two years and included 2022. In 2022 which is the audit we just received the total that we owe the city for that fiscal year is \$84,096.97. Now this item is something we will have to bring to the next meeting, this is just a report now, to take action on and it pertains to an annual MOU that we have with the city that LMPO if they feel like they need to keep this \$84,000.00 then we make that decision, if not then we sent the city a check for the \$84,000.00 to reimburse for the overpayment by the City of Lawton.

Booker responded we look forward to your report next time. That concludes our reports any comments from the public. Do we want to vote on adjournment or just want to adjourn? We stand adjourn.

With no further business the meeting was adjourned at 11:54am.