

MINUTES
SPECIAL MEETING
CITY COUNCIL ENGINEER SELECTION COMMITTEE
APRIL 24, 2012 - 5:30 P.M.
NEW CITY HALL – 2ND FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM

The meeting was called to order at 5:30 p.m. in the City Hall Council Conference Room. Meeting notice and agenda were posted on the City Hall bulletin board as required by State Law.

1. ROLL CALL:
PRESENT: Bill Shoemate, Michael Tennis, Stanley Haywood, Doug Wells, Jerry Ihler.
ABSENT: None.
ALSO PRESENT: Traci Hushbeck, City Clerk, George Hennessee, Assistant Director of Engineering.
-

2. Review and discuss proposals and make a recommendation of an engineering/flow monitoring firm **to begin negotiations** for the Sewer Rehabilitation Phase 2 Post-Construction Flow Monitoring and Report for the Wolf Creek Basin Project.

Ihler stated the sewer rehab program has been broken up into three phases, Numu Creek is phase one, Wolf creek is phase two and East Cache Creek is phase three. As they complete the rehab in each phase they are required by ODEQ to do flow monitoring testing to compare the flow monitoring after they have made the improvements as compared to before the improvements were made. That is what this proposal is dealing with.

Tennis questioned if they talked about this last budget session about hiring an individual that would have to do all this monitoring.

Ihler stated with these proposals they will hire a firm to do the flow monitoring and update the computer models. He stated they received four proposals and four staff members, including himself, rated the proposals and they all concurred that ADS was ranked fourth and that RJN was ranked third. They did have a difference of opinion when it came to the first and second place. Two staff members felt that Tetra Tech was stronger and two felt that Pipeline Analysis was stronger. They do believe that it is very important that the firm that is chosen needs to have a good working relationship with ODEQ. He called the director of ODEQ last week and he has not received a call back. He is not sure that ODEQ will even share their opinion on the two firms. He stated next week is the state water/wastewater conference and that will give him an opportunity to talk with ODEQ personnel to see if they will share their opinion. He recommended that they wait two weeks to choose firms one and two until he gets back from the conference. Either way they will have a solid recommendation.

Tenis questioned which way Ihler chose.

Ihler stated he would recommend Pipeline Analysis. He stated their strength was in the software side. Two people who work for their firm actually came up with the computer model that we used in 1994 when we first started the sewer rehab program.

MOVED by Wells, SECOND by Tenis, to table for two weeks. AYE: Shoemate, Tenis, Haywood, Wells, Ihler. NAY: None. MOTION CARRIED.

3. Comments. NONE

4. Discuss next meeting date

Ihler stated they will meet in two weeks on May 8th at 5:00 p.m. They will have two proposals to look at and staff has made recommendations on both proposals.

5. Adjournment

There was no further business to consider and upon motion, second and roll call vote the committee adjourned at 5:37 p.m.