



City of Lawton

City Council

Minutes

Lawton City Hall
212 SW 9th Street
Lawton, Oklahoma
73501-3944

Tuesday, December 9, 2025

6:00 PM

Lawton City Hall
Wayne Gilley Auditorium

Meeting Called to Order with Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance

"Official action can be taken only on items which appear on the agenda. The Council may adopt, approve, ratify, deny, defer, recommend, or continue any agenda item. The Council may also propose and enact floor amendments to any matter presented before them. When more information is needed to act on an item, the Council may refer the matter to the City Manager or the City Attorney. The Council may also refer items to standing committees of the Council or a board, commission, or authority for additional study. Under certain circumstances, items are deferred to a specific later date or stricken from the agenda entirely."

Meeting called to order by Mayor Stanley Booker with invocation led by Daniel Rodriguez of Light of the World Church followed by the Pledge of Allegiance

Roll Call

Present:

Councilman - Ward 1 Lane Hooton
Councilman - Ward 2 Taron Epps
Councilwoman- Ward 3 Linda Chapman
Councilman- Ward 4 George Gill
Councilman - Ward 6 Bob Weger
Councilwoman - Ward 7 Sherene L Williams
Councilman - Ward 8 Randy Warren

Absent:

Councilman - Ward 5 Allan Hampton

Also Present:

Stanley Booker, Mayor
John Ratliff, City Manager
Jari Askins, Interim City Attorney
Donalynn Blazek-Scherler, City Clerk
Julia Sibilia, Fort Sill

Presentation

1. Citizen of the Month- Brooke Brown

Mayor Booker recognized Brooke Brown as the December Citizen of the Month,

presented by the Mayor's Commission on the Status of Women. Brooke Brown was honored for her extensive volunteer service to veterans through Grander of Grace, her leadership in veteran outreach, housing assistance, mentorship programs, and her continued commitment to community service.

Brooke Brown thanked the City, the Commission, and community partners, expressing appreciation for the support of the City of Lawton and emphasizing her continued dedication to serving veterans and the community.

The Chair of the Mayor's Commission on the Status of Women expressed appreciation for Brooke Brown's service and encouraged residents to submit nominations recognizing individuals who serve the community.

Reports: Mayor/City Council/City Manager

City Manager Ratliff reported that letters would be mailed to approximately 500 property owners notifying them of the requirement to replace galvanized metal and lead service lines within three years, in compliance with EPA requirements. He explained the service lines predated the 1991 code changes and emphasized the City's obligation to phase them out.

Ratliff also announced a Clean Stream Saturday scheduled for December 21 at Olson Park along Numu Creek.

Epps highlighted upcoming community events, including the Lawton Schubert Music Club's presentation of Messiah and Holiday in the Park performances at Elmer Thomas Park.

Chapman stated this was her final regular City Council meeting after six years of service. She thanked her constituents, City staff, and fellow Councilmembers, reflecting on the accomplishments of the Council and the dedication of City employees.

Gill discussed progress on the City's road program, noting upcoming street projects and progress on existing contracts. Gill also commented on the continued success of the Farmers Market and expressed appreciation for City staff.

Mayor Booker recognized Chapman and Gill for their service, emphasizing the impact they made on the community and encouraging citizens to consider public service. Mayor Booker also extended holiday greetings and noted continued progress within the City.

Weger encouraged organizations to apply for YFAC grants and noted mandatory training sessions.

Williams thanked constituents for their engagement and support and emphasized the importance of community involvement.

Warren reflected on the collaborative nature of the Council, expressing appreciation for Chapman and Gill and highlighting the Council's ability to accomplish meaningful work through cooperation.

Consent Agenda

The following items are considered to be routine by the City Council and will be enacted with one motion. Should discussion be desired on an item, that item will be removed from the Consent Agenda prior to action and considered separately.

Motion by Randy Warren, Second by Linda Chapman, to approve the consent agenda except for pulling items 2, 9, and 11 and including the addendum item. AYE: Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren, Taron Epps, Lane Hooton. NAY: None. Motion Passed.

2. Consider the following tort claims recommended for approval: Terry Jones, d/b/a Barefoot LLC. in the reduced amount of \$9,173.15, and Stacy and Robert Wehmeyer in the reduced amount of \$2,100.28.

Motion by Randy Warren, Second by George Gill, to approve the claim of Terry Jones d/b/a Barefoot LLC in the reduced amount of \$9,173.15. AYE: Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren, Taron Epps, Lane Hooton. NAY: None. Motion Passed.

Garrett Lam, City Attorney, addressed Council and stated that this item differed from most tort claims typically presented, as staff was recommending approval rather than denial. Lam stated that claimant Stacy Wehmeyer alleged that on September 16, 2025, at approximately 12:15 p.m., she was driving southbound on Northeast Carver Street when she heard a loud impact strike the driver's side fender of her vehicle.

Lam stated that Wehmeyer alleged she pulled over and observed that a City of Lawton lawn mower operating in the area had thrown concrete debris, which struck her vehicle. Lam stated that Wehmeyer reported visible damage, including a dent and paint scratched down to bare metal. Lam stated that Wehmeyer reported the incident to City employee Jesse Munoz and his supervisor Mike, both with the City's Parks and Recreation Division.

Lam stated that Wehmeyer submitted two repair estimates as required by City policy. He stated that one estimate was submitted from B&M Collision in the amount of \$5,110.14, and a second estimate was submitted from Mullen's Collision Repair in the amount of \$1,811.95.

Lam further stated that Wehmeyer submitted a rental vehicle estimate from Ian Holdings LLC in the amount of \$1,224.93 for a premium SUV rental during the repair period.

Lam stated that the City's claims investigator and legal staff reviewed the claim for potential liability and determined that the City bore responsibility for the damage. He

stated that under City practice, when liability is established, staff recommends approval based on the lower of the two submitted repair estimates.

Lam stated that staff recommended approval of the Mullen's Collision Repair estimate in the amount of \$1,811.95. He further stated that staff reviewed the rental vehicle request and approved a seven-day midsize rental, rather than a premium SUV, in the amount of \$288.33.

Lam stated that the total recommended approval amount was \$2,100.28 and reiterated staff's recommendation for approval in that amount.

Mayor Booker asked Stacy Wehmeyer if she wished to address Council.

Stacy Wehmeyer addressed Council and stated that Mullen's Collision Repair had provided an estimate but would not perform the work due to the vehicle being too new. She stated that the vehicle was a Toyota Land Cruiser, valued at approximately \$73,000, and that it was brand new at the time of the incident.

Wehmeyer stated that B&M Collision had provided a revised estimate that accounted for electronic systems, calibrations, and manufacturer requirements necessary for the vehicle to be safely driven. She stated that even after insurance considerations, she would be responsible for an additional \$4,311.86 out-of-pocket and emphasized that she was not at fault for the damage.

Wehmeyer stated that the repairs required would include calibration of electronic components, paint matching across multiple panels, and additional work not reflected in the lower estimate. She stated that updated documentation reflecting these requirements was provided to the City that day.

Mayor Booker asked Council if there were questions.

Lam stated that he was confused as to how a rock striking the side of a vehicle could affect the vehicle's electronics and stated that he was not familiar with the internal mechanics of modern vehicles.

He also stated that staff relied on the estimates submitted by the claimant and reiterated that Wehmeyer had provided the two estimates used in the City's evaluation. He stated that staff recommended approval based on the lower estimate, consistent with City policy.

Mayor Booker asked whether Wehmeyer was stating that Mullen's Collision Repair would no longer perform the repair for the quoted amount.

Wehmeyer stated that Mullen's would not perform the repairs and that B&M Collision was the only shop willing to complete the work. She stated that B&M had provided updated documentation that day outlining additional required repairs.

Mayor Booker asked whether the additional information presented to Council had been shared with the City's legal department.

Wehmeyer stated that she had spoken by phone with "Mindy", the City's claims investigation coordinator, and that B&M Collision would honor its original estimate, but that the revised estimate reflected necessary additional work.

Mayor Booker asked Lam to clarify staff's position.

Lam stated that he understood Wehmeyer to be referring to Mincie the City's claims investigation coordinator. He stated that staff had not received information that would change the original recommendation and reiterated that staff could not justify a significantly higher payout for what was characterized as a relatively minor dent.

Mayor Booker stated that given the new information presented, Council should consider allowing staff additional time to reevaluate the claim.

Mayor Booker recommended that Council direct staff to meet again with the claimant, review the newly submitted information, and return with a revised recommendation at the first Council meeting in January, if possible.

Warren stated he could provide the claimant with two or three different company names that could provide a lower estimate.

Motion by Randy Warren, Second by Linda Chapman, to re-evaluate and bring back the claim of Stacy and Robert Wehmeyer to first meeting in January with additional estimates. AYE: Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren, Taron Epps, Lane Hooton. NAY: None. Motion Passed.

Mayor Booker asked Wehmeyer if she understood Council's action.

Wehmeyer stated that she had been waiting for guidance.

Mayor Booker stated that Wehmeyer should continue working directly with the City's legal department and claims staff, who would evaluate the additional information and determine an updated recommendation for Council.

Wehmeyer asked whether she could proceed with repairs through her insurance to prevent rust damage.

Mayor Booker stated that he could not answer that question and advised that legal staff may be able to provide guidance.

3. Consider the following tort claim recommended for denial: Maria Diaz, through her attorney Vickie Leyja in the amount of \$22,929.97.

4. Consider approving a resolution restating and confirming the base sales and use tax revenues and the formula for determining the sales and use tax increments for Increment District NO. 2, City of Lawton; and directing and confirming the apportionment of such increments derived therefrom.

5. Consider awarding a contract to M&M Wrecking, Inc, in the amount of \$69,813.00 for the demolition of the property located at 1514 SW Lee Blvd.

6. Consider approving an agreement for Insurance Broker with INSURICA Insurance Management Network.

7. Consider and take action in entering into a Project Development Agreement between the City of Lawton and Johnson Controls, Inc., (JCI) to develop Facility Improvement Measures for various City-owned facilities in the amount not to exceed \$180,000.

8. Consider and take action in approving Amendment Number 11 to the Master Services Agreement with Jacobs Engineering Group, INC, in the amount not to exceed \$352,195 for design and support services during construction of a sanitary sewer main extension that will support development in the west industrial park area and to support the incoming Firehawk Aerospace facility.

9. Consider and authorize the installation of a 4" water line to replace the existing line servicing the Wedgewood Water Association and direct the City Manager to determine payment options for the delinquent water bill payment overages and continued revenue losses as recommended by the Water Conservation Council Committee meeting on November 21, 2025 at 11 am.

Rusty Whisenhunt stated that the matter had been reviewed by the Water Conservation Council Committee on November 21. He stated that the Committee reviewed the proposed installation of the 4-inch water main and returned the item to Council with a recommendation to proceed with installation of the line and to establish a mechanism to recover the cost of the installation.

Mayor Booker asked how citizens outside the city limits would recover the cost of the water line installation.

Whisenhunt stated that attachments provided to Council included a spreadsheet outlining projected costs over time. He stated that based on firm material quotes and estimated labor hours, the total cost of installation was approximately \$43,000, which was lower than the original estimate of approximately \$60,000.

Whisenhunt explained that the cost could be recovered through the outside water sales agreement by assessing the seven existing properties a charge of \$25 per month until the cost of installation is fully paid. He stated that if new residents connect to the line, they would pay a one-time connection fee of \$3,500, which would reduce the remaining balance owed by the existing customers. He stated that new customers would pay upfront, while existing customers would pay over time.

Mayor Booker asked about recovery of the delinquent water bill.

Whisenhunt stated that the delinquent balance could be recovered by assessing approximately \$12 per month over time. He stated this was one available method for addressing the delinquency.

Williams asked how long the repayment period would be.

Whisenhunt stated that if no additional customers connected to the line, the repayment period at \$25 per month would be approximately 20 years. He stated that if additional connections were made, the repayment period would decrease proportionally. He stated that if seven additional services connected, the repayment period would be reduced to approximately 10 years, and if fifteen additional services connected, the period would be reduced to approximately six years.

Mayor Booker asked whether interest would be charged.

Whisenhunt confirmed that no interest would be assessed.

Mayor Booker stated that Tifney DeMarcus had signed up to speak on the item and invited her forward.

Tifney DeMarcus addressed Council and stated that she had been working with the residents in the area for approximately four years. She stated that she had personally worked on water line repairs resulting from repeated damage caused by an individual tampering with valves. She stated that a City employee had reportedly caught the individual in the act and questioned why residents were charged for damage caused by that individual rather than pursuing accountability directly.

Mayor Booker stated that this information was new to him and asked the City Manager whether he was aware of the situation.

Ratliff stated that he had heard general accounts but did not know specific details.

Whisenhunt stated that approximately \$8,779 of the delinquent bill was attributable to damage to a water meter and valve. He stated that a contractor had been hired to repair the damage and that this cost was included in the total delinquent balance of approximately \$28,000. He stated that the City did not have documentation identifying the individual responsible for the damage.

Mayor Booker asked whether anyone had directly witnessed the damage being done.

DeMarcus stated that Kurt Scott, who was superintendent at the time, was aware of the situation, but documentation identifying the responsible individual did not exist.

Mayor Booker suggested that Council consider approving the installation while directing the City Manager to investigate whether responsibility for the damage could be established.

Motion by George Gill to approve and direct CM to investigate damage caused to meter.

Gill stated that the Sheriff's Office had begun an investigation earlier that day. He stated that although proof was still needed, Council should proceed to ensure residents had access to water. He stated that residents were not receiving anything for free and would pay for the improvements. He emphasized that the City should take care of its neighbors while pursuing legal remedies if appropriate.

Mayor Booker asked for clarification on the motion.

Gill confirmed that the motion was as stated.

Warren seconded the motion.

Mayor Booker opened the floor for discussion.

Weger asked whether the unpaid balance could be attached to properties if they were sold.

Whisenhunt stated that because the properties were outside city limits, the City could not establish an improvement district or place charges on property taxes. He stated that repayment could only be recovered through water service agreements. He also stated that service accounts would continue regardless of ownership changes and that new customers would be required to pay remaining balances as part of their service agreement.

Warren stated that it would benefit the City if properties changed ownership because new customers would be required to pay the full connection fee upfront.

Chapman stated that a 20-year repayment period was significant and expressed

concern about the length of time required for cost recovery.

Whisenhunt stated that staff also modeled a 15-year repayment option, which would require payments of approximately \$40 per month, but noted that higher monthly payments could be prohibitive.

Gill stated that the Water Conservation Council Committee carefully weighed the issue, noting that the residents involved were elderly or disabled and living on fixed incomes. He stated that staff had worked on the issue for more than three years and that the proposed approach represented the best balance between fiscal responsibility and compassion, even if not ideal.

Hooton asked what the \$3,500 connection fee covered.

Whisenhunt stated that the fee reflected installation costs and was based on an estimated 21 additional lots that could feasibly connect to the line in the future. He stated that upfront payments would significantly reduce the repayment burden for existing customers.

Hooton asked how delinquent balances would be handled if new customers connected.

Whisenhunt stated that delinquent billing policies were administered by Revenue Services and that he could not speak in detail to enforcement mechanisms beyond service agreements.

Williams asked for clarification on the total delinquent amount.

Whisenhunt stated that the total delinquency was approximately \$28,000, consisting of water usage charges, late fees, pumping fees, and approximately \$8,700 related to damage to the water meter and valve.

Williams asked whether repayment agreements would be with the association or individual customers.

Whisenhunt stated that the association no longer existed and that repayment agreements would be established with individual service accounts.

Williams asked what risk existed that the City would not recover its funds.

Whisenhunt stated that if a property never reconnected to water service, recovery would not occur; however, any reconnection would trigger repayment obligations.

Mayor Booker asked if Council was ready to vote.

VOTE ON MOTION: AYE: George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren, Taron Epps, Lane Hooton. NAY: Linda Chapman. Motion Passed.

10. Approve up to \$1.5 million in CIP funding for road maintenance, in accordance with Resolution 24-126 as part of the PROPEL 2040 CIP Program.

11. Consider approving a Second Amendment to the Employment Agreement between the City of Lawton and John Ratliff, and authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to execute the Agreement.

Matina Davis addressed the Council and stated that she was present to speak regarding the recent decision to approve a compensation increase for the City Manager. She stated that the City was currently operating under what she described as severe financial and operational challenges that, in her view, warranted heightened caution and accountability at the management level.

Davis outlined several issues she characterized as unresolved or ongoing, including cyber fraud affecting City finances; the dissolution of the Great Plains Technology Development Trust; questions regarding financial accountability related to public funds associated with FISTA; what she described as financial instability reflected in turnover among financial directors; precision budget funding concerns; litigation and fallout related to the Westwin development; the recent water crisis in the Wedgewood Addition; and tenant-based housing rental assistance matters involving the Lawton Housing Authority.

Davis stated that these issues were occurring under the current administrative structure and requested a transparent explanation from the Council. She asked what specific, measurable achievements or improvements in managing these matters prompted the decision to increase the City Manager's compensation at this time. Davis thanked the Council for the opportunity to speak.

Mayor Booker thanked Davis for her comments.

Motion by Randy Warren, Second by Linda Chapman, to approve. AYE: Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren, Taron Epps, Lane Hooton. NAY: None. Motion Passed.

12. Consider approving the record plat for Rolling Hills Development Part 5B, accepting the street and drainage improvements, and maintenance bond.

13. Consider granting an underground right-of-way easement to PSO to serve the new Lawton Animal Welfare building to be located at 1701 SW Lee Boulevard, and authorizing the Mayor to execute the easement document.

14. Consider approving the Second Amendment to the Employment Agreement between the City of Lawton and Donalynn Blazek-Scherler, and authorize the execution of the Agreement.

15. Consider approving the claims list from November 29, 2025, through December 7, 2025.

Business Items

16. Consider holding a public hearing and recommend approval of the Environmental Review Record (ERR) for the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME) Programs' proposed projects and activities for Federal Fiscal Year 2025.

Robert Burns, Housing and Community Development Administrator, greeted Mayor Booker and the City Council and explained that HUD requires grantees to submit environmental reviews annually in accordance with Title 24 CFR 58.14. Robert stated that the process requires the responsible entity to consult with relevant environmental agencies at the state, federal, and non-federal levels as part of preparing environmental assessments and related environmental review documentation for the proposed CDBG and HOME projects for FY 2025.

Burns stated that letters were sent to the applicable agencies, including state, federal, and non-federal entities. Burns reported that the City received no responses to those consultation letters except from ODEQ, and that the responding agency anticipated no adverse environmental impacts from the City's proposed FY 2025 projects.

Burns stated that, in accordance with the City's Citizen Participation Plan, the environmental review information was made available for public participation by posting it at recognized public spaces beginning October 12, and that it was retrieved on November 17, meeting the 30-day posting requirement. Burns stated that there was zero public input received during the posting period.

Burns further stated that, following a hearing held on December 4, the CPC recommended approval of the 2025 ERR for submission to HUD and authorized the Mayor to sign all necessary documents required to submit the approved ERR. Burns stated that staff was now requesting City Council approval to proceed accordingly.

Mayor Booker asked whether Council had questions, and reminded Council that the item required a public hearing and asked that comments be held until after the hearing. Mayor Booker asked if there were any questions before opening the public hearing.

Mayor Booker noted that there were no questions.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED. No one appeared to speak. PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED.

Motion by Randy Warren, Second by George Gill, to approve. AYE: Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren, Taron Epps, Lane Hooton. NAY: None. Motion Passed.

17. Consider a request from the property owner for additional time to remodel the structure located at 708 SW I Avenue, per Section 6-1-1-108 Section D that states after 180 days the property will go back to City council for specific authorization by the Council.

Jonathan Jernigan, Division of Safe and Clean Neighborhoods, explained that the property located at 708 Southwest H Avenue had previously been declared dilapidated on March 26, 2025. Jernigan stated that the property owner had been actively working on the structure since that determination.

Jernigan directed Council's attention to the photographs included in the agenda packet, explaining that the photos on the left reflected the condition of the property at the time it was declared dilapidated, while the photos on the right showed the current condition.

Jernigan stated that the structure previously had vagrants living inside, but that the property owner had since removed the vagrants and cleaned up the property. Jernigan explained that the windows were currently boarded and secured because the windows had repeatedly been broken, and the property owner wanted to ensure the structure remained secure while work continued.

Jernigan stated that the property owner had completed electrical inspections and was currently awaiting plumbing inspection approval. Jernigan further described improvements visible at the rear of the structure, including new trim, a new door, cleaned siding, and new electrical service installed on the property.

Jernigan asked whether Council had any questions and stated that staff's recommendation was to allow the property owner to continue under the existing permit and grant the requested extension.

Mayor Booker asked which ward the property was located in.

Jernigan responded that the property was located in Ward 5.

Motion by George Gill, Second by Bob Weger, to approve. AYE: Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren, Taron Epps, Lane Hooton. NAY: None. Motion Passed.

18. Consider holding a public hearing and approving a resolution creating the

Willow Springs Neighborhood Water Main Improvement District, directing staff to move forward with the construction of the improvements.

Christine James, Planning Director, stated that the proposed improvement district had been discussed by Council on several prior occasions. James stated that on November 4, City Council approved setting a public hearing for the current meeting, and that the public hearing was being held as scheduled.

James displayed slides and explained that the proposed improvement district would serve the Willow Springs townhouses and condominiums located off 67th Street.

James explained that the figures presented represented a worst-case scenario, based on cost estimates provided by Garver Engineering, and included projected construction costs, project management, easement acquisition, staff time, and a contingency. James stated that the estimate assumed a \$1.3 million project cost, including a 30% contingency, which could increase to approximately \$1.7 to \$2.1 million depending on circumstances.

James explained that, based on 65 property owners, the estimated annual cost per property would be approximately \$1,700 per year over 15 years, or approximately \$1,100 per year over 30 years. James emphasized that these were worst-case estimates and that actual costs would not be known until bids were received and construction completed.

Gill asked how the project would be structured financially.

James responded that the City would perform the work upfront, including materials and labor, and that property owners would repay the costs over time.

Gill asked whether the City would ultimately be made whole financially.

James responded affirmatively, explaining that the improvement district would place a lien on each property, securing repayment until the City fully recovered its costs.

Gill asked how repayment terms were being proposed.

James stated that property owners had requested a 30-year repayment term to keep annual payments as low as possible, but emphasized that Council would ultimately approve the final terms after actual construction costs were known.

Gill asked how interest would be calculated.

James stated that the interest rate was currently estimated at 3.5%, based on information provided by Whisenhunt, and that the rate would be fixed.

Gill asked how the situation originated.

James explained that Willow Springs was a private development within the city limits, constructed in the early 1980s with private water lines, streets, and sewer lines. James stated that the private association had been unable to keep up with repair costs over time, had unsuccessfully sought loans and grants, and that this improvement district represented a last viable option to replace failing water infrastructure.

Gill expressed concern about the length of a 30-year repayment period and stated that shorter repayment periods are generally preferable.

James responded that the figures presented were preliminary and that Council would have the opportunity, after construction bids were received, to determine repayment terms ranging from 10 to 30 years.

Williams asked whether the association had been able to obtain financing independently.

James responded that the association had not been able to secure financing through traditional means.

Epps asked for clarification on how liens would be applied.

James explained that each individual condo unit had a single owner, and that liens would be placed per unit, with each property owner responsible only for their proportionate share.

Epps asked whether costs could exceed the worst-case estimate.

Whisenhunt explained that the estimate included a significant contingency. Mayor Booker stated that Council could choose to cap costs and require the project to return for reconsideration if bids exceeded projections.

Mayor Booker noted that he is supportive of improvement districts, but questioned if state statute limited improvement districts to fifteen years.

Whisenhunt responded that there is no time limit on improvement districts and went on to explain that an FAP loan through OWRB can be done for thirty years.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED. No one appeared to speak. PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED.

Gill ask for the construction timeline.

Whisenhunt explained that a contract for design conditional upon loan approval would be brought back in January. He estimated the project would take approximately one year.

Gill asked how interest would be addressed.

Whisenhunt stated once the loan is approved, the interest is locked and becomes part of the payment.

Gill reiterated that he would like for staff to look at the length of the loan. He suggested fifteen years.

James explained the process moving forward, noting that if the resolution was approved tonight, the funding would then be secured, the plans would be completed, bids would be issued, and construction would be done. Then, another public hearing would take place, with the actual cost known, and terms to be decided.

Mayor Booker asked about the useful life of the infrastructure.

Whisenhunt responded that PVC water lines have an estimated useful life of approximately 100 years, while valves and hydrants typically last 50 to 75 years, making the proposed repayment period reasonable relative to asset lifespan.

Warren asked whether repayment would be handled through ad valorem taxes.

James explained that state statute allowed the City to directly bill property owners rather than using county ad valorem processes, which would avoid administrative fees and reduce the risk of property loss through tax sale.

Mayor Booker commented on the broader usefulness of improvement districts for neighborhoods seeking infrastructure improvements.

Motion by Randy Warren, Second by George Gill, to approve Resolution 25-282. AYE: Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Randy Warren, Taron Epps, Lane Hooton. NAY: Sherene L Williams. Motion Passed.

19. Per Council Policy 1-6, Council Rules of Procedure, paragraph 5, section E, subsection c, reconsider holding a public hearing and reconsidering an ordinance for a change of zoning for four lots located on the north side of SW Washington Avenue between SW 17th Street and SW 18th Street, Lawton View Addition, from the R-1 Single-Family Dwelling District to R-2 Two-Family Dwelling District zoning classification, and take action as deemed necessary.

Kameron Good, Planning Department, explained that this rezoning request was originally presented to Council on October 14, 2025. Good stated that the motion to approve at that meeting passed by a 4–2 vote, which failed to meet the required supermajority of five votes, and therefore did not pass.

Good explained that under Council Policy 1-6, an item that previously failed may be reconsidered when the vote of absent Councilmembers could change the outcome. Good stated that two Councilmembers were absent at the October 14 meeting, and their participation could have resulted in a different outcome.

Good stated that a new public hearing notice was mailed to 43 property owners within 300 feet of the subject properties on November 10, and that notice was published in the Lawton Constitution on November 16.

Good described the request as a rezoning of Lots 22, 23, 28, and 29, Block 7 of the Lot and View Addition. Good stated that the lots were currently vacant and zoned R-1, with surrounding zoning consisting primarily of R-1 residential and C-5 commercial to the north.

Good stated that the proposed rezoning would allow for duplex construction, noting that similar rezonings in the surrounding area had previously been approved by Council.

Good stated that the applicant was present and available to answer questions.

Mayor Booker asked if Council had any questions.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED. No one appeared to speak. PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED.

Motion by Sherene L Williams, Second by Randy Warren, to approve Ordinance 25-66, waive the reading of the ordinance, and read the title only.

The Interim City Attorney read the title: ORDINANCE 25-66
AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE EXISTING ZONING CLASSIFICATION FROM THE R-1 SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING DISTRICT TO R-2 TWO-FAMILY DWELLING DISTRICT ZONING CLASSIFICATION ON THE TRACT OF LAND WHICH IS HEREINAFTER MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED IN SECTION ONE (1) HEREOF; AND AUTHORIZING CHANGES TO BE MADE UPON THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS ORDINANCE.

VOTE ON MOTION: AYE: Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren, Taron Epps, Lane Hooton. NAY: None. Motion Passed.

20. Consider holding a public hearing and considering an ordinance for a change of zoning for twelve lots located between SW NH Jones Avenue and SW Douglas Avenue and between SW 18th Street and SW 19th Street, from the R-1 Single-Family Dwelling District to R-2 Two-Family Dwelling District zoning classification, and take action as deemed necessary.

Kameron Good, Planning Department, stated that this item was similar to the previous rezoning request. Good explained that the item had appeared on the October 14, 2025

agenda, but was tabled when the prior rezoning item failed.

Good explained that the request involved Block 62 of the Lot and View Addition, specifically Lots 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 19, 20, 26, and 27.

Good stated that the lots were currently vacant, zoned R-1, and the applicant was requesting R-2 zoning.

Good stated that the City Planning Commission reviewed the request on August 28, and recommended approval by a 7–0 vote.

Good stated that public notice was mailed to 49 property owners on November 10, and published in the Lawton Constitution on November 16, and that no phone calls or written comments were received for or against the request.

Mayor Booker asked if Council had any questions prior to opening the public hearing.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED. No one appeared to speak. PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED.

Motion by George Gill, Second by Randy Warren, to approve Ordinance 25-67, waive the reading of the ordinance, and read the title only.

The Interim City Attorney read the title: ORDINANCE 25-67
AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE EXISTING ZONING CLASSIFICATION FROM THE R-1 SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING DISTRICT TO R-2 TWO-FAMILY DWELLING DISTRICT ZONING CLASSIFICATION ON THE TRACT OF LAND WHICH IS HEREINAFTER MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED IN SECTION ONE (1) HEREOF; AND AUTHORIZING CHANGES TO BE MADE UPON THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS ORDINANCE.

VOTE ON MOTION: AYE: Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren, Taron Epps, Lane Hooton. NAY: None. Motion Passed.

21. Consider holding a public hearing and approving an ordinance pertaining to Planning and Zoning amending Section 18-1-1-114, Division 18-1-1, Article 18-1, Chapter 18, Lawton City Code, 2015, by amending when a site plan and/or binding site plan is required as part of a rezoning application, providing for severability and establishing an effective date.

Christine James, Planning Director, explained that during discussions of potential administrative rezonings in the Lawton View area, staff identified a code provision that required a binding site plan anytime a duplex was proposed adjacent to R-1 zoning.

James stated that City Planning Commission expressed concern that this requirement created unnecessary barriers to redevelopment, given that R-1 and R-2 uses are very

similar, limited to single-family and duplex structures.

James stated that the Planning Commission reviewed the proposed code amendment on November 6, held a public hearing on November 16, and recommended approval of the ordinance change.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED. No one appeared to speak. PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED.

Mayor Booker commented that the ordinance change aligned with an “open for business” approach.

Williams asked whether the change eliminated rezoning requirements.

James clarified that the rezoning process would still be required, including notices and public hearings, and that the ordinance only removed the binding site plan requirement.

Motion by George Gill, Second by Lane Hooton, to approve Ordinance 25-68, waive the reading of the ordinance, and read the title only.

The Interim City Attorney read the title: ORDINANCE 25-68
AN ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO PLANNING AND ZONING AMENDING SECTION 18-1-1-114, DIVISION 18-1-1, ARTICLE 18-1, CHAPTER 18, LAWTON CITY CODE, 2015 BY AMENDING WHEN A SITE PLAN AND/OR DETAILED SITE PLAN IS REQUIRED AS PART OF A REZONING APPLICATION; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY AND ESTABLISHING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

VOTE ON MOTION: AYE: Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren, Taron Epps, Lane Hooton. NAY: None. Motion Passed.

22. Consider holding a public hearing and approving an ordinance pertaining to Planning and Zoning amending Section 18-6-14-646, Division 18-6-14, Article 18-6, Chapter 18, Lawton City Code, 2015, by adding data center as a permitted use within an I-1 Restricted Manufacturing and Warehouse District, providing for severability and establishing an effective date.

Christine James, Planning Director, stated that there had been recent public and industry discussion regarding data centers. James explained that data centers are not currently listed as a specific permitted use in the City’s zoning code. James stated that staff and the City Planning Commission (CPC) evaluated data centers as a land use and determined they were similar in nature to bitcoin mining facilities, which Council had approved several months earlier.

James explained that both data centers and bitcoin mining operations require substantial electrical capacity, generate noise, and often require cooling systems and other infrastructure. James stated that, based on this similarity, the CPC was

recommending that data centers be added as a permitted use within the I-1 Industrial District, subject to conditions consistent with those already applied to bitcoin mining.

James stated that the recommended conditions would require pre-approval from the electric utility provider, specifically Public Service Company of Oklahoma (PSO), confirming the ability to supply the required electrical load. James further stated that approval from the Public Utilities Director would also be required to confirm the availability of water resources necessary for cooling and operations. James explained that these approvals would be required prior to the zoning or use implementation process.

Mayor Booker asked whether, if the Planning Director determined the City did not have sufficient resources to support a proposed data center, the matter would still go before a public body for consideration.

James responded that if the required utilities were not available, the use would not qualify as a permitted use under the proposed framework. James stated that in such cases, an applicant could potentially pursue review through the City Planning Commission as a “like use” determination, depending on the circumstances.

Mayor Booker stated that his concern related to the lack of an appeal process. He stated that data centers are widely discussed as having significant impacts on community resources, including electricity and water, and emphasized the importance of allowing affected parties an avenue to appeal decisions to a public body or, if necessary, the district court. Mayor Booker stated that decisions of this magnitude should not rest solely within the administrative process.

James stated that she would need to further review whether an appeal mechanism existed under the proposed structure. James clarified that the current recommendation focused on listing data centers as a permitted use within I-1 when utility approvals are secured, but acknowledged that she did not have immediate confirmation regarding appeal procedures if an application failed to meet those requirements.

Mayor Booker stated that he would prefer the item be tabled to allow staff to further evaluate the issue, particularly with respect to appeal rights and procedural safeguards. He stated that interest in industrial properties was increasing and that public utilities would play a critical role in reviewing these proposals. He stated that staff should return with a more comprehensive framework that includes a clear appeal process.

Gill made a motion to table the item and direct staff to further review the proposal, including confirming whether an appeal process exists or should be added, and to return the item to Council at a later date.

James asked whether Council wished to proceed with the public hearing before acting on the motion, noting that failure to hold the public hearing would require the item to be renoticed. Mayor Booker responded that he wouldn't mind having two public hearings.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED.

Mayor Booker asked Richard Rogalski, LEDA Executive Director, if he wished to provide input, noting prior discussions regarding data centers.

Rogalski stated that he generally agreed with the presentation and Council's concerns. Rogalski stated that data centers are indeed very similar to bitcoin mining operations, which are already addressed in the zoning code and require confirmation of adequate electrical capacity. Rogalski stated that the addition of water availability requirements was appropriate, given the cooling demands of data centers.

Rogalski stated, however, that he shared Council's concern regarding decision-making authority. Rogalski stated that if a determination is made that insufficient water or power exists, the issue should still be capable of being reviewed by a public body. He stated that Council may determine to invest in additional infrastructure or pursue alternative solutions that staff alone could not authorize.

Rogalski further stated that bitcoin mining regulations were influenced by state statute, limiting local discretion, whereas data centers do not carry the same statutory mandate. He stated that Council's approach to slow the process and refine the framework was appropriate.

Mayor Booker thanked Rogalski for his comments and asked whether anyone else wished to speak during the public hearing.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED.

Motion by George Gill, Second by Lane Hooton, to table and bring back this item with further information. AYE: Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren, Taron Epps, Lane Hooton. NAY: None. Motion Passed.

Following the vote, Mayor Booker suggested that staff coordinate with Rogalski during further review, noting his familiarity with data center impacts.

23. Consider holding a public hearing and approving an ordinance pertaining to Planning and Zoning amending Sections 18-6-12-623 and 18-6-12-624, Division 18-6-14, Article 18-6, Chapter 18, Lawton City Code, 2015 by adding cigar bar and hookah lounge as a permitted use within an C-5 General Commercial District as long as it's located on an arterial road and adding cigar bar and hookah lounge as a use permitted on review within an C-5 General Commercial District when not located on an arterial road; providing for severability and establishing an effective date.

Stricken.

24. Consider holding a public hearing and approving an ordinance pertaining to Planning and Zoning amending Section 18-8-1-804, Division 18-8-1, Article

18-8, Chapter 18, Lawton City Code, 2015 by adding that the erection of an accessory building that is used for storage only associated with a commercial or industrial use does not require additional parking spaces; providing for severability and establishing an effective date.

Christine James, Planning Director, stated that the City's current zoning code requires parking to be evaluated anytime a new building is erected on a property to ensure adequate parking is provided. James explained that staff frequently encounters situations where an accessory building is constructed or attached to an existing structure and is intended solely for storage purposes. James stated that in those cases, the accessory structure does not generate additional traffic or parking demand, and requiring additional parking spaces does not make practical sense.

James stated that staff presented the proposed code amendment to the City Planning Commission, which reviewed the matter and recommended approval. James explained that the amendment would eliminate the requirement for additional parking when an accessory building is used strictly for storage and is associated with an existing commercial or industrial use.

Mayor Booker asked whether Council had any questions for staff.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED. No one appeared to speak. PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED.

Gill stated that he believed the proposed amendment was a well-deserved and necessary change. Gill stated that parking requirements can create unnecessary obstacles for projects and that requiring additional parking for storage-only accessory buildings is unreasonable and overly restrictive. Gill stated that the current code unnecessarily ties the City's hands in these situations.

Motion by George Gill, Second by Lane Hooton, to approve Ordinance 25-69, waive the reading of the ordinance, and read the title only.

The City Attorney read the title: ORDINANCE 25-69
AN ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO PLANNING AND ZONING AMENDING SECTION 18-1-1-114, DIVISION 18-1-1, ARTICLE 18-1, CHAPTER 18, LAWTON CITY CODE, 2015 BY ADDING THAT THE ERECTION OF AN ACCESSORY BUILDING THAT IS USED FOR STORAGE ONLY ASSOCIATED WITH A COMMERCIAL OR INDUSTRIAL USE DOES NOT REQUIRE ADDITIONAL PARKING SPACES; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY AND ESTABLISHING AND EFFECTIVE DATE.

VOTE ON MOTION: AYE: Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren, Taron Epps, Lane Hooton. NAY: None. Motion Passed.

25. Consider approving a resolution amending Appendix A, Schedule of Fees and Charges, Lawton City Code, 2015, as amended, by extending the current six-

month moratorium on the requirement that revenues generated from a capital outlay fee on the utility bill be restricted to the purchase or lease purchase of wheeled or track vehicles only by another six months, and establishing an effective date.

Kristin Huntley, Deputy Finance Director, reminded Council that in April, the City approved a resolution allowing the rolling stock fee (capital outlay fee on the utility bill) to be repurposed temporarily to the General Fund for six months, which was projected to generate approximately \$1.7 million.

Huntley explained that the resolution under consideration would extend that repurposing for the second half of the fiscal year, with an important limitation: the extension would be reduced by revenue needed to meet existing lease-purchase obligations for rolling stock, estimated at \$1.2 million. She stated that extending the repurposing under those conditions would generate approximately \$500,000 (or similar) for the General Fund.

Motion by Randy Warren, Second by Bob Weger, to approve Resolution 25-283. AYE: Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren, Taron Epps, Lane Hooton. NAY: None. Motion Passed.

26. Consider a resolution approving the official names of conference rooms used for public meetings within Lawton City Hall.

Stricken.

27. Consider approving an ordinance adopting and enacting a code of ordinances of the City of Lawton, Oklahoma; providing for the repeal of certain ordinances not included therein, except as hereafter provided; providing for the effective date of the Lawton City Code, 2025; providing for the sale and copies in the City Clerk's Office; providing for supplements or changes to Code; providing for codification; and by a separate motion and vote, declare an emergency.

Mayor Booker stated Council could now return to Items 27 and 28, explaining the earlier sequencing issue: Item 29 referenced Lawton City Code 2015, and the City could not adopt Lawton City Code 2025 until after completing Item 29.

Blazek-Scherler stated that state statute requires the City to republish its code of ordinances every 10 years, and the last publication was 2015, making 2025 the required update.

Mayor Booker commented that significant work was involved and praised Blazek-Scherler.

Mayor Booker asked for questions or a motion.

Motion by Randy Warren, Second by Linda Chapman, to approve Ordinance 25-71, waive the reading of the ordinance, and read the title only.

The Interim City Attorney read the title: ORDINANCE 25-71
AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING AND ENACTING A CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF LAWTON, OKLAHOMA: PROVIDING FOR THE REPEAL OF CERTAIN ORDINANCES NOT INCLUDED THEREIN, EXCEPT AS HEREAFTER PROVIDED; PROVIDING FOR THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE LAWTON CITY CODE, 2025; PROVIDING FOR THE SALE AND COPIES IN THE CITY CLERK'S OFFICE; PROVIDING FOR SUPPLEMENTS OR CHANGES TO CODE; PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION AND BY SEPARATE MOTION AND VOTE, DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

VOTE ON MOTION: AYE: Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren, Taron Epps, Lane Hooton. NAY: None. Motion Passed.

Motion by Bob Weger, Second by Randy Warren, to declare emergency. AYE: Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren, Taron Epps, Lane Hooton. NAY: None. Motion Passed.

28. Consider approving a resolution directing the filing and notification of the publication of the Lawton City Code, 2025, and establishing a fee for the sale of the Lawton City Code, 2025.

Blazek-Scherler stated the resolution was a companion to Item 27 and would direct the City Clerk to file the adopted code with the County Clerk, and to sell the code to anyone who wishes to purchase it.

Mayor Booker asked whether the code would also be available online at no charge.

Blazek-Scherler confirmed it would be available free online electronically.

Motion by Bob Weger, Second by George Gill, to approve Resolution 25-284. AYE: Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren, Taron Epps, Lane Hooton. NAY: None. Motion Passed.

29. Consider approving an Ordinance pertaining to Recreation by amending Section 19-7-701, Article 19-7, Chapter 19, Lawton City Code, 2015; by setting specific hours of operations for the City owned parks, by allowing for specific requests to extend the hours to be approved by the Director of Parks and Recreation, prohibiting after hours use of the park unless permission has been obtained by the City, providing for severability, and establishing an effective date.

Larry Parks, Community Enrichment Director, stated the ordinance would amend the existing parks hours of operation to incorporate seasonal operating hours and provide clearer rules for after-hours use. Larry stated the ordinance was intended to improve

public safety and park security and align Lawton with peer cities.

Parks explained the proposed seasonal hours:

March 1 through October 31: parks open 5:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m.

November 1 through end of February: parks open 6:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m.

Parks described the winter schedule as effectively “dawn-to-dusk” and said the change would reduce opportunities for vagrancy, vandalism, and theft in parks.

Parks stated that vandalism and theft have resulted in significant costs, including damage tied to theft of copper and related infrastructure. He referenced examples where the City incurred costs connected with attempted removal of copper and vandalism to lighting systems, and stated signage is a low-cost tool compared with large repair costs.

Ratliff asked Parks to provide additional detail about a specific incident involving Greer Park.

Parks stated that the Greer Park incident, involving wire stripped from a light pole, cost approximately \$86,000 to rewire. Parks stated the true impact was higher when city labor/time were included (digging and restoration work). Parks referenced similar work performed at the ballfields on 38th.

Parks stated that adding cameras and lighting has helped deter incidents and that peer cities use multiple approaches including ordinances, signage, and coordination with law enforcement. He mentioned Norman as an example where volunteers assist in park monitoring in coordination with police.

Mayor Booker asked whether Norman uses volunteers.

Parks confirmed they do and described it as a neighborhood/adopt-a-park type approach, where citizens help observe conditions while coordinating with police presence.

Mayor Booker asked whether Lawton currently uses volunteers in the same way.

Parks stated Lawton has not implemented that approach yet.

Mayor Booker commented that the volunteer approach sounded like a good tie-in with neighborhood watch programs.

Parks agreed.

Mayor Booker asked if Parks had anything else before Council questions or a motion.

Parks stated he did not.

Mayor Booker asked Council for questions or a motion.

Motion by George Gill, Second by Randy Warren, to approve the Ordinance 25-70, waive the reading of the ordinance, and read the title only.

The Interim City Attorney read the title: ORDINANCE 25-70
AN ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO RECREATION BY AMENDING SECTION 19-7-701; ARTICLE 19-7; CHAPTER 19, LAWTON CITY CODE, 2015; BY SETTING SPECIFIC HOURS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE CITY OWNED PARKS, BY ALLOWING FOR SPECIFIC REQUESTS TO EXTEND THE HOURS TO BE APPROVED BY THE DIRECTOR OF PARKS & RECREATION, PROHIBITING AFTER HOURS USE OF THE PARK UNLESS PERMISSION HAS BEEN OBTAINED BY THE CITY; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY, PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION, AND ESTABLISHING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

VOTE ON MOTION: AYE: Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren, Taron Epps, Lane Hooton. NAY: None. Motion Passed.

30. Receive a recommendation from the Streets, Bridges, and Building Development Committee concerning action on the bids for Project PW2504P8, Ad Valorem Streets Projects.

Gill stated that bids were received for the first set of four ad valorem streets. Gill stated bids were opened the prior Thursday and the low bid was submitted by Overland (Ardmore, Oklahoma). Gill stated due diligence was performed to verify the bidder and bonding/security requirements.

Gill stated the low bid came in approximately \$450,000 below the engineer's estimate, which he described as favorable.

Gill asked Council to approve the bid so the City could issue a contract. Gill stated work likely would not begin until after Christmas due to timing late in the month.

Mayor Booker asked if there were questions.

Motion by George Gill, Second by Randy Warren, to approve Overland of Ardmore, OK as the lowest bidder.

Chapman asked whether the street list was located in the AIC or an attachment.

Gill stated it was in the AIC and, at the Mayor's request, summarized the four streets for the audience:

Cache Road – from 67th Street to 82nd Street

52nd Street – from Lee Boulevard to the railroad tracks

SW 11th Street / Fort Sill Boulevard (Heroes Highway) – from Ferris to Rogers Lane
Gore Boulevard – south to Lee Boulevard

Gill explained most items were mill and overlay, but the Fort Sill Boulevard segment (Ferris to Rogers Lane) would involve a more intensive approach described as diamond milling and panel replacement, with additional work anticipated on that street.

VOTE ON MOTION: AYE: Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren, Taron Epps, Lane Hooton. NAY: None. Motion Passed.

Staff Reports

31. Provide a report on Camera Installation Locations in City Parks.

Kelvin Ingram, Parks and Recreation, explained that the City has invested approximately \$36,000 to date on park security cameras. He stated the purpose of the camera program is to improve public safety, deter vandalism, illegal activity, and unsafe behavior, and support more efficient park operations. Ingram stated that the program aligns with the City's True North guiding principles.

Ingram explained that the camera systems are accessible through the City's Verkada system, allowing authorized staff to view live footage remotely using tablets or other devices. He stated cameras can be monitored in real time and reviewed as needed.

Ingram outlined the parks where cameras have already been installed, including:

Greer Park

Kids Zone

McMahon Park

Ballfields at 38th and Lee

Elmer Thomas Park

Lawton Aquatic Center

Ingram described the coverage at each location, noting that multiple camera angles provide visibility of playgrounds, parking areas, skate parks, ballfields, and other high-traffic or vulnerable areas. He stated that Elmer Thomas Park has extensive coverage across multiple facilities, including Miracle Field, Holiday House, and surrounding areas, totaling more than 40 camera views.

Ingram stated that cameras were recently installed at the Lawton Aquatic Center and that staff continues to monitor system performance and effectiveness.

Ingram discussed proposed future camera installations, stating that the City plans to add cameras to parks currently undergoing rehabilitation or improvement, including:
East Side Ballfields

Lee West Park, including the Thunder Basketball Court
Harmon Park
Gooch Acres Park
Gray Warr
Clement Washington Park

He stated that line-of-sight analysis has been conducted to ensure camera placement maximizes coverage and effectiveness, particularly at larger parks and parks with wave pools or other high-use amenities.

Williams asked whether Mocine Park was included in the camera plan.

Ingram confirmed that Mocine Park was included and stated that the park is currently undergoing improvements. He encouraged Councilmembers to visit the site to see ongoing work.

Williams asked for an update on Harkey Park and its status.

Parks stated that Harkey Park would be addressed as part of a future AIC related to park improvement phase three. He explained that a different park in Ward 7 had previously been approved for improvements, but the approved play unit would also be compatible with Harkey Park. He stated that because funds were already allocated to another park, Council approval would be required to switch the location.

Mayor Booker asked whether cameras would be included in that future park improvement budget.

Parks confirmed that cameras are included in each park improvement project. He explained that whenever a park receives a new play structure, the project also includes lighting and security cameras.

Williams stated that she wanted clarity on the current status of improvements and future plans for Harkey Park, including discussion of a monument.

Parks stated that the proposed monument would need to go before the City Planning Commission (CPC) and that the item was planned for an upcoming CPC meeting. He stated that materials had been gathered from Dunbar School for the Dunbar Memorial, including plans for bricks and a headstone, and that once CPC approval is obtained, the item would return to City Council.

Chapman asked who is responsible for monitoring the park cameras.

Parks explained that the cameras are motion-activated, similar to park lighting systems. He stated that alerts are routed to Lawton Police Department, including a designated desk sergeant, and that Parks and Recreation supervisory staff can also monitor cameras during the day. He added that on weekends, the on-call Parks staff member

has access.

Ratliff added that staff also receive notifications through a mobile application and can view live footage directly from their phones.

Ingram confirmed that the Verkada system allows authorized users to zoom in, zoom out, and view live activity in real time.

Mayor Booker asked whether cameras were installed at Kids Zone at the time of the previously discussed lighting theft incident.

Ingram stated that cameras were not installed at that time and were added later.

Mayor Booker commented that having cameras earlier would have significantly reduced costs.

Ingram agreed.

Mayor Booker stated that while it would be ideal to have cameras in every park immediately, the City is implementing a strong, phased plan.

Warren stated appreciation for the work Parks and Recreation has done and expressed satisfaction that funding had finally been secured to begin camera installation. Warren stated disappointment that cameras are necessary due to vandalism and theft, emphasizing that destruction of public parks is unacceptable and harms the community, particularly youth.

Hooton stated that the quality of Lawton's parks compares favorably to other cities and thanked Parks' staff for their work, noting that vandalism is a widespread issue beyond Lawton.

Mayor Booker thanked staff for the report and comments.

32. Receive the annual report from the Director of Finance on Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Projects.

Kristin Huntley, Deputy Finance Director, explained that the first slide had previously been presented to City Council in September and summarized the financial status of the CIP Fund for Fiscal Year 2025.

Huntley stated that total CIP revenues for FY 2025 were \$110,192,558, while total expenditures were \$108,055,948, resulting in a net income of \$2,136,610.

Huntley explained that the report was organized using the same project categories adopted in the Resolution of Intent, beginning with the 2015 CIP projects. She stated that expenditures during FY 2025 for water and sewer line replacement and emergency water line replacement totaled \$449,546, clarifying that these figures represented only

the amount spent during Fiscal Year 2025 and not the total cost of each project.

Huntley moved to the 2016 CIP projects, which included alternative water sources, lake gate operations, groundwater supply wells, wastewater treatment plant improvements, the Lawton–Fort Sill Water Resiliency Grant, sewer system rehabilitation (Phase II), and landfill cells six and seven. She stated that expenditures for these projects totaled \$15,573,272.

Huntley reviewed the 2019 CIP projects, which included police and fire compensation emergency fund transfers, multiple waterline projects, sewer projects, lift station work, pump replacements, and associated materials. She stated that expenditures for these projects totaled \$35,158,380 and clarified that these costs are being financed through OWRB loans, with repayment occurring over time through the half-cent sales tax.

Huntley reviewed streets and sidewalks improvements, including arterial striping, road reconstruction, crack and joint sealant work, bridge rehabilitation, and street overlays. She stated that expenditures in this category totaled \$6,245,750.

Huntley reported that transportation improvements expenditures for the fiscal year totaled \$51,191.

Huntley discussed information technology improvements, including software licenses, Office 365 subscriptions, SCADA system updates, Tyler software implementation and maintenance, and timekeeping systems. She stated that expenditures for these items totaled \$1,488,970.

Huntley reviewed city buildings and facilities improvements, including City Hall and McMahon Auditorium additions and renovations, as well as library ceiling renovations. She stated that expenditures in this category totaled \$6,478,354.

Huntley discussed parks and recreation facilities and arts and humanities, including improvements at East Side Park, Lee West Park, Mattie Beal Park, waiting pools, the Elmer Thomas Park Aquatic Center, and the youth sports complex. She stated that expenditures in this category totaled \$15,707,540.

Huntley reported youth program funding, including payments made to Harvest Plenty House of Prayer Church, Lawton Public Schools, Marie Detty, and Quest Ministries of Oklahoma. She stated that total expenditures for youth programs in FY 2025 were \$282,700.

Huntley reviewed industrial development and retention expenditures, including funding for FISTA, the Lawton Economic Development Authority (LEDA), the Fisher59 incentive, industrial water main Phase 2A, and the US-62 interchange project. She stated that these expenditures totaled \$11,619,227.

Huntley reported beautification improvements, including demolition of dilapidated

structures, totaling \$310,675.

Huntley reviewed other expenditures, including dumpsters for lakes, precast restroom facilities, Robinson's Landing demolition, and debt service payments. She stated that these expenditures totaled \$15,759,810.

Huntley noted that there was one PROPEL 2040 project in FY 2025, the automated traffic control system, with expenditures totaling \$132,524.

Huntley stated that the internal auditor reviewed all CIP expenditures and confirmed that they complied with the Resolution of Intent and ballot language approved by voters.

Huntley provided updates on major CIP projects, including completed park improvements, parks currently under construction, landfill expansion progress, street maintenance expenditures, Lee Boulevard rehabilitation, and upcoming bid packages.

Huntley clarified that lake improvements were not funded through the CIP and should not have been included as part of the report.

Weger asked what portion of the \$310,675 spent on demolition had been recovered by the City.

Ratliff stated that recovery rates for demolition costs are generally low. He explained that property owners are billed following demolition and that liens are placed if payment is not made within the required timeframe, but overall recovery percentages remain modest.

Mayor Booker estimated recovery at approximately 10 percent.

Ratliff stated that recovery is closer to 20 percent.

Mayor Booker asked whether recovered funds are returned to the CIP fund.

Huntley confirmed that any recovered funds are returned to the CIP fund.

Williams asked about the timing of repairs on Lee Boulevard between 38th Street and 52nd Street.

Huntley stated that the project is already under contract and that work is currently underway.

Executive Session

Motion by George Gill, Second by Taron Epps, to enter into executive session. AYE: Lane Hooton, Taron Epps, Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren. NAY: None. Motion Passed

The City Council entered Executive Session at 8:07PM and reconvened in Open Session at 9:35PM. No action was taken in Executive Session, in compliance with the Oklahoma Open Meetings Act.

Motion by George Gill, Second by Bob Weger, to return to open session. AYE: Lane Hooton, Taron Epps, Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren. NAY: None. Motion Passed

33. Pursuant to Section 307B.4, Title 25, Oklahoma Statutes, consider convening in executive session to discuss the pending action in the United States Court for the Western District of Oklahoma titled Sabrina Turner v. City of Lawton, et al, Case Number 5:25-cv-00987, and if necessary, take appropriate action in open session.

Motion by Randy Warren, Second by George Gill, to approve a professional services agreement for Collins, Zorn, and Wagner to represent the City of Lawton and Chief Smith in the defense of this matter. AYE: Lane Hooton, Taron Epps, Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren. NAY: None. Motion Passed

34. Pursuant to Section 307B.4, Title 25, Oklahoma Statutes, consider convening in executive session to discuss the pending action in the District Court of Comanche County titled Thelma Marsha Pendley vs. City of Lawton, Case No. CJ-2017-44, and if necessary, take appropriate action in open session.

No action taken.

35. Pursuant to Section 307B.4, Title 25, Oklahoma Statutes, consider convening in executive session to discuss the pending action in the District Court of Comanche County titled Thelma Marsha Pendley vs. City of Lawton, Case No. CJ-2022-246, and if necessary, take appropriate action in open session.

No action taken.

36. Pursuant to Section 307B.4, Title 25, Oklahoma Statutes, consider convening in executive session to discuss the status of an ongoing investigation concerning the Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) program, and if necessary, take appropriate action in open session.

No action taken.

37. Pursuant to Section 307B.4, Title 25, Oklahoma Statutes, consider convening in executive session to discuss a pending claim involving potential litigation related to previous property insurance coverage provided by OMAG and, if necessary, take appropriate action in open session.

No action taken.

Audience Participation

Lawton citizens who have completed a Request to Speak Form and wish to address business not listed on the agenda may step forward at this time.

To ensure compliance with the Oklahoma Open Meeting Act, the Mayor and Council will receive comments but will NOT engage in direct responses. However, they may refer matters to the appropriate department or individual for further consideration and follow-up action.

To participate, speakers must submit a Request to Speak Form to the City Clerk and reside within the Lawton city limits. Each speaker is allotted 3 minutes, with a maximum of 9 minutes per topic, and Audience Participation is limited to 30 minutes total. All participants are expected to follow the Rules of Decorum as outlined in Council Policy 1-6.

No request to speak forms were submitted.

Adjournment

The City of Lawton encourages participation from all of its citizens. If participation at any public meeting is not possible due to a disability, notification to the City Clerk at (580) 581-3305 at least 48 hours prior to the scheduled meeting is encouraged to make the necessary accommodations. The City may waive the 48 hour rule if interpreters for the deaf (signing) is not the necessary accommodation."

Motion by George Gill, Second by Bob Weger, to adjourn. AYE: Lane Hooton, Taron Epps, Linda Chapman, George Gill, Bob Weger, Sherene L Williams, Randy Warren. NAY: None. Motion Passed

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 9:39PM.

ORDINANCE NO. 25- ____

AN ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO RECREATION BY AMENDING SECTION 19-7-701; ARTICLE 19-7; CHAPTER 19, LAWTON CITY CODE, 2015; BY SETTING SPECIFIC HOURS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE CITY OWNED PARKS, BY ALLOWING FOR SPECIFIC REQUESTS TO EXTEND THE HOURS TO BE APPROVED BY THE DIRECTOR OF PARKS & RECREATION, PROHIBITING AFTER HOURS USE OF THE PARK UNLESS PERMISSIN HAS BEEN OBTAINED BY THE CITY; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY, PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION, AND ESTABLISHING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

ORDINANCE

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Council of the City of Lawton, Oklahoma, that:

Section 1. Section 19-7-701 is hereby amended to read as follows:

19-7-701 ~~Park closings~~ Park operating hours—Unauthorized parking.

- A. ~~Unless otherwise posted, all parks belonging to the city shall close at 11:00 p.m. each day until 6:00 a.m. of the succeeding day. Entry into any city park by any person during these hours is prohibited except by written permission of the city. Any activity specifically authorized or sanctioned by the city shall be exempted from the closing hours established herein.~~ General hours: Except as otherwise posted or authorized by the Director of Parks & Recreation or their designee:
 1. March 1 through October 31 – City owned/operated parks shall be open to the public from 5:00 A.M. to 11:00 P.M. daily;
 2. November 1 through the last day of February – City owned/operated parks shall be open to the public from 6:00 A.M. to 9:00 P.M. daily.
- B. ~~The city is empowered to establish different closing hours at certain city parks as authorized by the city council. Such closings shall be established by posting the hours of admission to the park. Entry by any person into a park in violation of the posted hours of admission is prohibited.~~ Special or Extended Hours
 1. The Director of Parks & Recreation may grant temporary or permanent modified hours for individual parks or facilities.
 2. The temporary or permanently modified hours shall be based on the following:
 - a. Lighting;
 - b. Special events;
 - c. Maintenance;
 - d. Safety; or
 - e. Other operational needs.

- C. ~~Revised closing hours for a specified park may be made by an approved parade/assembly permit, or by an approved Elmer Thomas Park/Lake Helen Stage Lease Agreement.~~ After hours use prohibited. It shall be unlawful for any person to enter or remain within any City owner/operated park outside of the hours established in Paragraph A unless participating in a City-approved program, event, or possessing written authorization from the Director of Parks & Recreation.
- D. All motor vehicles are prohibited in city Parks ~~from 11:00 p.m. until 6:00 a.m. of the succeeding day or~~ during the hours the park is posted as closed. No trailers are allowed to be parked in any city park at any time except by special permit issued by the city manager or their designee. Any parking related to activity specifically authorized or sanctioned by the city is exempted from the prohibition provided by this subsection.
- E. No person shall park any vehicle upon any of the roads, drives, avenues or parking lots or at any other authorized parking place within any park when the person is not using the park or any of its related or adjoining facilities. This section shall not apply to city employees or its contractors in the conduct of city business.

Section 2. Severability. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or portion of this ordinance is for any reason held invalid or unconstitutional by any court of competent jurisdiction, said portion shall be deemed a separate, distinct, and independent provision and such holding shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion of this ordinance.

Section 3. Effective Date. The provisions of this ordinance shall become effective thirty days after passing of ordinance.

ADOPTED and APPROVED by the Council of the City of Lawton, Oklahoma this 9th day of December, 2025.

STANLEY BOOKER, MAYOR

ATTEST:

DONALYNN BLAZEK-SCHERLER, CITY CLERK

APPROVED as to form and legality this _____ day of _____, 2025.

JARI ASKINS, INTERIM CITY ATTORNEY

ORDINANCE NO. 25- ____

AN ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO RECREATION BY AMENDING SECTION 19-7-701; ARTICLE 19-7; CHAPTER 19, LAWTON CITY CODE, 2015; BY SETTING SPECIFIC HOURS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE CITY OWNED PARKS, BY ALLOWING FOR SPECIFIC REQUESTS TO EXTEND THE HOURS TO BE APPROVED BY THE DIRECTOR OF PARKS & RECREATION, PROHIBITING AFTER HOURS USE OF THE PARK UNLESS PERMISSION HAS BEEN OBTAINED BY THE CITY; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY, PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION, AND ESTABLISHING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

BRIEF GIST

This ordinance will amend the park operating hours, allow for the Director of Parks & Recreation to approve specific requests to extend the hours and prohibiting after hours use of the park unless specifically approved by the City.

ADOPTED and APPROVED by the Council of the City of Lawton, Oklahoma this 9th day of December, 2025.

STANLEY BOOKER, MAYOR

ATTEST:

DONALYNN BLAZEK-SCHERLER, CITY CLERK

(Published in the Lawton Constitution this ____ day of _____, 2025.)



Lawton Peer City Park Operations

City of Lawton
Community Enrichment Department
Parks & Recreation





Background



- The City of Lawton has **experienced recurring vandalism in several parks**, including graffiti, damage to playground equipment, restroom destruction, and defacement of public amenities. These incidents result in increased maintenance costs, reduced public safety, and diminished park usability.
- Our peer cities have implemented various strategies such as environmental design improvements and **Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED)**, increased lighting, targeted camera systems, rapid-repair policies, community policing, and youth engagement programs to help reduce vandalism.
- To maintain clean, safe, and accessible parks for all residents, it is necessary to consider enhanced mitigation measures and align with regional best practices.
- Correlation to the True North Statement: Safe Community – This item supports the city's commitment to providing well-maintained, safe public spaces that enhance the quality of life for residents and visitors. Reducing vandalism directly supports community safety, trust, and neighborhood pride.



Key Issues

- **Repeated vandalism incidents** increasing city maintenance costs.
- **Lack of deterrence during after-hours periods in certain parks.**
- Limited visibility, insufficient lighting, and outdated infrastructure in key locations.
- **Absence of modern camera systems in vandalism-prone parks.**
- **Need for coordinated police–parks monitoring of hotspots.**
- Limited community engagement and reporting pathways.



Intra-State Peer City Park Hour Operations

- Norman, OK
- Edmond, OK
- Moore, OK
- Midwest City, OK
- Enid, OK
- Stillwater, OK



Norman, OK

Hours / operations: 6:00 AM to 8:30 PM – *12 AM

- *Closing hours varies for specific parks
- Norman Parks & Recreation office hours are Mon–Fri 8:00–5:00.
- The operating hours are given as 10 a.m. – 8 p.m. for specific splash-pad facilities..

How they keep people out after hours:

- Volunteer “Adopt-A-Park / Adopt-A-Trail” program which requires reporting hazards and suspicious behavior.
- Enforcement also depends more on case-by-case city policing (*loitering, trespass, and/or calls for service*) rather than a blanket posted curfew.





Edmond, OK

Hours / operations: 7:00 AM – 10:00 PM

- Edmond Parks & Recreation office hours are Mon–Fri 8:00–5:00.
- Some Edmond parks are explicitly “*closed at dusk*” in practice/communications.

How they keep people out after hours:

- Posted hours/signage
- Parks & Rec coordination with police
- Social posts and public reminders. (Local posts note parks close at dusk and police enforcement is the backstop.)



Moore, OK

Hours / operations: 12:01 AM to 6:00 AM

- Various parks have posted times.
- Enforcement language appears in municipal park rules

How they keep people out after hours:

- municipal ordinance forbidding presence during closed hours (trespass/penalty)
- Police enforcement and posted signage.



Midwest City, OK

Hours / operations: Varies

- Publishes park lists and maintains a “Chapter 30 Parks & Recreation code”
- The city has adopted ordinances governing park hours, posting signs, and trespass/disorderly conduct penalties.

How they keep people out after hours:

- Municipal code that sets hours and penalties
- Signage
- Police enforcement per code.



Enid, OK

Hours / operations: April 1–Nov 1: 6:00 AM–10:30 PM; Nov 1–Apr 1: one-half hour before sunrise to one-half hour after sunset.

- The code explicitly prohibits occupancy during closing hours except for city personnel.

How they keep people out after hours:

- explicit code language making occupancy during closed hours unlawful (enforceable as trespass/violation)
- Signage
- Police enforcement





Stillwater, OK

Hours / operations: Stillwater maintains many parks and posts seasonal restroom closures and facility hours; however, Stillwater **does not have a citywide juvenile curfew** — park closing/enforcement appears to rely on posted rules, police response, and park operations.

How they keep people out after hours:

- Signs
- Police calls/enforcement when needed, site design and routine maintenance
- Community reports are commonly used.





Common methods used to keep people out after hours

- **Municipal ordinance / park code (curfew + prohibited occupancy).**
 - Cities create explicit hours and a statute that makes being in the park after hours unlawful (e.g., Enid, OK). This gives police clear authority to remove/ticket/trespass.
- **Posted signage & public communications.**
 - Clear signs at park entrances + social media reminders help set expectations (Edmond, OK, Midwest City, OK)
- **Police patrols / targeted enforcement.**
 - Routine or targeted police patrols enforce the ordinance when complaints arise. Ordinances make enforcement straightforward (i.e., trespass, disorderly conduct).
- **Physical controls (gates/locks, bollards, locked restrooms).**
 - Some city's parks use gates on vehicle access points or lock restrooms after hours; campgrounds or boat ramps sometimes have separate 24/7 access rules (Edmond example: camping/fishing 24/7 but day-use limited).
- **Environmental design & lighting (CPTED).**
 - Better lighting, trimmed sightlines, and removal of hidden areas reduce after-hours misuse.
- **Surveillance / cameras / motion sensors.**
 - Used selectively where budgets allow; they increase the chance of identifying violators and serve as deterrent.
- **Community engagement / park ambassadors.**
 - Volunteer park stewards, after-school programming and rentals keep parks active during evening hours, reducing misuse.



What methods seem most viable for Lawton



Based on what nearby peer cities use and what's enforceable under municipal government, the **most practical and effective** combination is:

- **Clear, enforceable park hours in the city code + posted signage.**
 - Example: adopt explicit hours (e.g., 06:00 AM–11:00 PM, or sunrise–sunset seasonally 06:AM – 9:00pm) and include a clause that occupancy during closed hours is unlawful (gives police authority).
 - *Why:* low cost, legally enforceable, used successfully by Enid/Moore/Midwest City.
- **Targeted enforcement by police with an operating protocol.**
 - Police should have a clear escalation: warnings → citation/trespass removal for repeat offenders.
 - *Why:* legal backing + visible deterrent.
- **Improve signage + public outreach.**
 - High-visibility signs at all entrances, web/social posts, and seasonal reminders.
 - *Why:* inexpensive and reduces “I didn’t know” defenses.
- **Physical controls where appropriate.**
 - Gates for vehicle entrances that lock at park close; lockable restroom buildings; timed automated barriers for athletic complexes.
 - *Why:* prevents vehicle egress/ingress after hours and lowers risky activity at night.
- **Targeted lighting + cameras where budgets allow.**
 - Install focused lighting on trails/entrances and consider camera(s) at problem sites.
 - *Why:* deterrent + easier identification, but requires maintenance and privacy policy.
- **Community stewardship programs & scheduled programming.**
 - Keep parks active during evening hours with permitted programming—this reduces loitering/misuse by design.



COL Code & Legal Template

Park Hours. All city parks shall be open to the public between the hours of 6:00 AM and 10:30 PM local time from April 1 through November 1 each year; and from one-half hour before sunrise to one-half hour after sunset from November 1 through March 31 each year.

Prohibited Occupancy. It shall be unlawful for any person, other than city employees performing official duties or persons with a valid written permit issued by the City, to occupy, remain in, or use any city park outside of the hours set forth in Section 1.

Enforcement and Penalty. Any person who violates this section shall be subject to removal by law enforcement and subject to penalties/citations as provided in [municipal penalty section]. Repeat offenders may be charged with trespass and/or disorderly conduct as provided by city code.

Exceptions. Municipal permits for overnight camping, special events approved by Parks & Recreation, or emergency response activities shall be exempt.





Solutions COL could take (prioritized)

1. **Adopt/clarify park hours in code.** (High impact, low cost.)
2. **Install standard signage at every entrance stating hours + penalties.** (Low cost.)
3. **Coordinate with Lawton Police to create an enforcement protocol** (warning → citation → trespass), and schedule periodic night patrols at problem parks. (Moderate cost.)
4. **Target physical controls at problem sites** (gates, lockable restrooms, timed access points) and add lighting/CPTED fixes where misuse is frequent. (Higher cost; do site assessments.)
5. **Pilot cameras or motion sensors at one or two chronic trouble spots** and evaluate effectiveness before wider rollout. (Budget and policy work required.)



Proposed Solutions

- **Install high-visibility LED lighting in priority parks.**
- **Deploy targeted cameras (motion-activated, cloud-based) at restrooms, shelters, skateparks, and parking lots**
- **Increase police patrol visibility in late evening hours.**
- **Implement CPTED improvements (clear sightlines, remove hiding spots, open-design structures).**
- Deploy community-based initiatives such as Adopt-A-Park and youth engagement outreach.
- Use a 311 reporting tool / QR code-based reporting integrated with Parks maintenance.
- Conduct a park-by-park vandalism vulnerability review and prioritize targets for redesign.



Recommendation(s)

BLUF – The recommendation outlines a practical, low-cost initiative to improve safety, reduce after-hours misuse, and strengthen law enforcement support across our City of Lawton’s parks.

The key recommendation is to:

- Re-adopt Standard Park Hours & Post Uniform Signage
- Enforcement Coordination
- Targeted Safety Enhancements with technology

Staff recommends that City Council approval of the ordinance amending Appendix A, chapter A-19 of the Lawton city code to implement the revised park operating hours.





Questions
Comments
Concerns
Feedback

